

# DCP 388 Working Group - Meeting 15

13 July 2023 at 10:00 - Web-Conference

Attendee	Company
<b>Working Group Members</b>	
Chris Barker [CB]	ENWL
Chris Ong [CO]	UKPN
Edda Dirks [ED]	SSEGen
Giao Le [GL]	SSEN
James Jones [JJ]	SSE
Kara Burke [KB]	NPg
Kyran Hanks [KH]	Waters Wye
Lee Stone [LS]	E.ON
Lorna Murray [LM]	Scottish Power
Marlon McDonald [MM]	Ofgem
Pamela Howe [PH]	NPg
<b>Observer</b>	
Nadir Hafeez [NH]	Ofgem
<b>Code Administrator</b>	
Alysson Peña [AP]	ElectraLink
John Lawton [JL] (Chair)	ElectraLink
Mel Kendal [MK] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink
Richard Colwill [RC] (Technical Secretariat)	ElectraLink

## 1. Administration

---

- 1.1 The Working Group reviewed the “Competition Law Guidance”. All Working Group members agreed to be bound by the Competition Law Guidance for the duration of the meeting.
- 1.2 It was agreed that the minutes of the last meeting were an accurate reflection of the discussions held.
- 1.3 An action log has been created and all updates are provided in **Appendix A**.

## 2. Purpose of the Meeting

---

- 2.1 The Chair explained that the purpose of this meeting is to review and update the draft Consultation document within the Working Group and agree next steps.

## 3. Review Draft Consultation Document

---

- 3.1 The Chair presented the draft Consultation document to the Working Group for further review. An updated version can be found as **Attachment 1**. Updates to the draft Consultation document were made live during the meeting.
- 3.2 The key updates can be found below:
  - The Working Group noted that all references to ‘residual charge’ or ‘charging band’ should be updated to state ‘residual charging band’. The Secretariat agreed to take an action to cross reference and update where necessary post-meeting.

**ACTION 15/01: The Secretariat to cross reference and update all references to ‘residual charge’ and ‘charging band’ and replace with ‘residual charging band’ throughout the draft Consultation document.**

- ED suggested that paragraph 4.39 should be amended to state ‘Given two respondents had queried the intent of DCP 388’ and the Working Group agreed this is more appropriate.
- The Working Group discussed and agreed to re-word paragraphs 4.68 and 4.69 for better clarity for the reader.
- In regard to 4.73, it was agreed to include the Working Group’s beliefs as this was not originally clear. It was also agreed to make it clear that the Working Group agreed to consider a hybrid of all three options.
- The Working Group discussed paragraph 4.75 and agreed for this to be deleted due to this already being explained elsewhere within the draft Consultation document.
- The Working Group added the additional wording below to paragraph 4.80:
  - In order to calculate capacity split at Sites where residual charging bands are *assessed on MIC, operational metering will need to record both active and reactive power per half hour to calculate a capacity split. Conversely, at Sites where residual*

*charging bands are assessed based on consumption, the active power needs to be recorded to calculate the differences between Final/Non-Final Demand consumption.*

- It was also agreed to include the key differences between CMP 363 and CMP 364 within paragraph 4.81.
- ED suggested it may be beneficial to either add a couple of examples, or references to examples further in the Consultation document, in relation to question 1 – the Working Group agreed to note this for further consideration as this may be of benefit to the reader.
- KB pointed out that the current paragraph 4.89 is incorrect as it stated that the Distributors collate the data, whereas it should state that the Customer collates the data. This was updated, and additional explanation was added throughout the paragraph for further clarity.
- The Working Group agreed to re-word paragraph 4.92 to state the below:
  - *The Working Group agreed that the Customer certification form should reference the process whereby the distributor will perform an annual review for new sites once sufficient data is available and reserves the right to carry out a site audit so that distributors can review the metering arrangements on site.*
- The Working Group agreed to add an additional paragraph (4.96) to state '*Because it is not possible to establish splits between Final-Demand MIC and Non-Final Demand MIC at a site, it is proposed to use a proxy approach, using instead the maximum demand for each of these elements*'.
- LS agreed to take an action to provide some examples for MIC and Non-MIC sites to include as an attachment to the Consultation document by 24 July 2023.

**ACTION 15/02: LS to provide some examples for MIC and Non-MIC sites to include as an attachment to the Consultation document by 24 July 2023.**

- 3.3 After reviewing the draft Consultation document during the meeting, the Secretariat agreed to make the final updates post-meeting and circulate to the Working Group for a final review offline.
- 3.4 Once all agreed and finalised, the final Consultation will be issued to wider industry on 31 July 2023, with a response deadline of 31 August 2023. The Working Group were happy with this approach.

## 4. Next Steps

- 4.1 The Working Group discussed the next steps, and the following items were captured:
1. The Secretariat to make the necessary updates to the draft Consultation document and circulate to the Working Group for final review.
  2. The Secretariat to issue the final Consultation to wider industry on 31 July 2023, with a response deadline of 31 August 2023.

## 5. Any Other Business

5.1 The Chair asked the group whether there were any other items of business to discuss.

5.2 There were no other items raised.

## 6. Date of Next Meeting - TBC

---

6.1 The next Working Group meeting will be agreed at a future date via a doodle poll.

## 7. Attachments

---

- Attachment 1\_DCP 388 Draft Consultation
- Attachment 2\_DCP 388 Draft Legal Text

## APPENDIX A

### New and Open Actions

Action Ref.	Action	Owner	Update
14/02	The secretariat to review the 1 <sup>st</sup> consultation response document and correct any typos.	Secretariat	<b>Ongoing.</b> <i>Currently in progress, and will be completed shortly.</i>
15/01	The Secretariat to cross reference and update all references to 'residual charge' and 'charging band' and replace with 'residual charging band' throughout the draft Consultation document.	Secretariat	<b>Ongoing.</b>
15/02	LS to provide some examples for MIC and Non-MIC sites to include as an attachment to the Consultation document by 24 July 2023.	LS	<b>Ongoing.</b>

### Closed Actions

Action Ref.			Update
14/01	The secretariat to sense check the use of capitalised words and also make the document consistent in regards to the customer certification form.	Secretariat	<b>Closed.</b>
14/03	Lee Stone to provide some wording to provide clarity on the intent and principle of the change, specifically in regards to the differences between the CUSC and DCUSA	Lee Stone	<b>Closed.</b>
14/04	The secretariat to check if the customer certification document was issued with the first consultation and if not, remove any text that insinuates this form was issued with the first consultation.	Secretariat	<b>Closed.</b>

The image features a green abstract background with a wavy, layered effect. The text 'DCUSA' is written in a white, bold, sans-serif font. A thin white horizontal line is positioned directly beneath the text.

**DCUSA**